

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Towards a fullerene-based quantum computer

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2006 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18 S867

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/18/21/S12)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 28/05/2010 at 11:05

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18 (2006) S867-S883

Towards a fullerene-based quantum computer

Simon C Benjamin¹, Arzhang Ardavan², G Andrew D Briggs¹, David A Britz¹, Daniel Gunlycke¹, John Jefferson³, Mark A G Jones¹, David F Leigh¹, Brendon W Lovett¹, Andrei N Khlobystov^{1,4}, S A Lyon⁵, John J L Morton^{1,2}, Kyriakos Porfyrakis¹, Mark R Sambrook¹ and Alexei M Tyryshkin⁵

¹ Department of Materials, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PH, UK

² Clarendon Laboratory, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, UK

³ QinetiQ, St Andrews Road, Malvern, WR14 3PS, UK

⁴ School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

⁵ Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

E-mail: simon.benjamin@materials.ox.ac.uk

Received 3 November 2005, in final form 13 January 2006 Published 12 May 2006 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/18/S867

Abstract

Molecular structures appear to be natural candidates for a quantum technology: individual atoms can support quantum superpositions for long periods, and such atoms can in principle be embedded in a permanent molecular scaffolding to form an array. This would be true nanotechnology, with dimensions of order of a nanometre. However, the challenges of realizing such a vision are immense. One must identify a suitable elementary unit and demonstrate its merits for qubit storage and manipulation, including input/output. These units must then be formed into large arrays corresponding to an functional quantum architecture, including a mechanism for gate operations. Here we report our efforts, both experimental and theoretical, to create such a technology based on endohedral fullerenes or 'buckyballs'. We describe our successes with respect to these criteria, along with the obstacles we are currently facing and the questions that remain to be addressed.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

In the quantum information processing (QIP) field there is a sense of optimism that a matterbased quantum computer can be built. That a scalable solid-state implementation will be feasible remains to be demonstrated. Eventual quantum computers may well require a hierarchy of embodiments of quantum information, with weakly interacting stationary qubits for relatively long term storage, more strongly interacting partially delocalized qubits for



Figure 1. Left: a model of $N@C_{60}$, illustrating that the nitrogen atom sits at the centre of the fullerene cage. Its electron wavefunction lies almost entirely inside, extending on the cage with only a 2% overlap. Right: an illustration of one of the fullerene array structures we have created: the 'peapod' nanotube contains fullerenes packed in a pseudo-helical phase.

controlled gates, and weakly interacting propagating qubits for communication. Within this hierarchy, electron spins appear to offer versatile properties, with reasonably long coherence times and the potential for interactions of controllable strength. By choosing materials in which the spin–orbit interaction is small, it is possible to modulate spatial distributions, and hence interactions, without otherwise affecting the quantum information stored in the spin. Carbon nanotubes offer one-dimensional electronic structures with low spin–orbit coupling and a choice of electronic structures, and endohedral fullerenes offer almost perfectly isolated atomic properties incarcerated in a carbon cage (illustrated in figure 1) [1, 2]. The chemical properties of these nanomaterials allow molecular assembly to complement lithography to create designer nanostructures with atomic precision in structure and reproducibility. The remarkable progress that has been made in ion trap quantum computing has been attributed in part to the deep understanding of the properties of the components and their interactions. The more we learn about the properties of carbon nanomaterials for quantum computing, the more promising they seem to be. In this paper we report progress in developing our vision of exploiting endohedral fullerenes and nanotubes for QIP.

We begin by describing the elementary fullerene unit, and the properties which we have established for qubit storage and manipulation. We describe efforts to optically address these units as an alternate means of manipulation and moreover as a mechanism for information input and output. We outline the research we have undertaken to create arrays of these fullerene units, both at the level of few qubit systems (e.g., dimers) and extended, scalable structures. We then discuss the theoretical issues and opportunities presented by the qubit–qubit interactions in such structures. Finally, we remark on the prospects for two-dimensional (or higher) molecular arrays as a fully fledged quantum computer technology.

2. An endohedral fullerene qubit

2.1. The $N@C_{60}$ spin system

The molecule N@C₆₀ (that is, a nitrogen atom in a C₆₀ cage, for which the IUPAC notation is *i*-NC₆₀) has electron spin S = 3/2 coupled to the ¹⁴N nuclear spin I = 1 via an isotropic hyperfine interaction. The spin Hamiltonian is therefore

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \omega_{\rm e} S_z + \omega_I I_z + a S \cdot I, \tag{1}$$

where $\omega_e = g\beta B_0/\hbar$ and $\omega_I = g_I\beta_n B_0/\hbar$ are the electron and ¹⁴N nuclear Zeeman frequencies, g and g_I are the electron and nuclear g-factors, β and β_n are the Bohr and nuclear magnetons, \hbar is Planck's constant and B_0 is the magnetic field applied along z-axis in the



Figure 2. (A) ¹⁴N@C₆₀ has electron spin S = 3/2 and nuclear spin I = 1 which together provide a rich 12-level structure. Considering only the first-order hyperfine interaction, the three electron transitions associated with a particular nuclear spin projection are degenerate. Adding the secondorder corrections ($\delta = a^2/B$) lifts the degeneracies for the $M_I = \pm 1$ lines. (B) Continuous wave EPR spectrum of high purity N@C₆₀ in degassed CS₂ at room temperature. Each line in the triplet signal is labelled with the corresponding projection M_I of the ¹⁴N nuclear spin. (C–E) Zoom-in for each of the three hyperfine lines reveals further structure. Stars (*) mark the line split by ¹³C hyperfine interactions with C₆₀ cage. Measurement parameters: microwave frequency, 9.67 GHz; microwave power, 0.5 μ W; modulation amplitude, 0.2 μ T; modulation frequency, 1.6 kHz.

laboratory frame. This Hamiltonian yields the 12-level system illustrated in figure 2. The electron spin resonance frequency is primarily determined by the electron Zeeman term; this is then further split by a hyperfine interaction with the ¹⁴N nucleus.

A continuous-wave EPR spectrum of N@C₆₀ at room temperature prepared using established methods [3] is shown in CS₂. The spectrum is centred on the electron *g*-factor g = 2.0036 and comprises three narrow lines (linewidth < 0.3 μ T) resulting from the hyperfine coupling to ¹⁴N [4]. The three hyperfine lines are not of equal amplitude, the outer two being approximately 30% of the height of the central line. This can be attributed to broadening of the outer two lines with respect to the central I = 0 line—a result of *second-order* hyperfine splitting. The full hyperfine term is

$$a\dot{S}\cdot\dot{I} = a(I_zS_z + I_xS_x + I_yS_y). \tag{2}$$

When the non-secular components are taken into account, the eigenvalues of the resulting Hamiltonian reveal a further splitting of $a^2/\omega_e = 26$ kHz = 0.9 μ T. Each hyperfine line (marked with $M_I = 0$ and ± 1 in figure 2(B)) involves the three allowed electron spin transitions $\Delta M_S = 1$ within the S = 3/2 multiplet. These electron spin transitions remain degenerate for $M_I = 0$, as seen in figure 2(D), but split into three lines (with relative intensities 3:4:3) for $M_I = \pm 1$, as seen in figures 2(C) and (E). The observation of this additional splitting is only possible because of the extremely narrow EPR linewidth <0.3 μ T. This linewidth is still limited by the resolution of the spectrometer, in particular, magnet stability and homogeneity. Similar second-order splittings have been reported for the related spin system in the endohedral fullerene ³¹P@C₆₀, which has S = 3/2 coupled with I = 1/2 [5]. The hyperfine splitting in P@C₆₀ is substantially larger, and hence so is the second-order correction.

This second-order splitting also leads to a profound modulation of the electron spin echo, with a principal component at 26 kHz for N@C₆₀ [6]. It also results in a non-uniform spacing of the levels, and therefore it should be possible to selectively address the three individual electron spin transitions (at least, for the outer hyperfine lines). However, at X-band microwave frequency, the splitting of 0.9 μ T is far too small to be of practical use. The homogeneity of the magnet in our pulsed EPR machine is not good enough to resolve the splitting, and a pulse would have to be 1000 times longer than usual (>50 μ s) in order to be suitably selective. Note, however, that the splitting scales reciprocally with microwave frequency and therefore a greater splitting is expected if we operate at lower microwave frequencies.

The direct observation of a 13 C-hyperfine interaction of 1.3 μ T = 36 kHz is shown in figure 2(D). The different peaks correspond to cages with different numbers of 13 C atoms. Given the natural abundance of 13 C(1.07%), we can calculate the expected peak intensities for the cases of zero, one or two 13 C atoms on a C₆₀ cage (57%, 30% and 12%, respectively), which are in good agreement with the observed spectrum. The measured hyperfine splitting is consistent with the value obtained from a 13 C ENDOR study [7]. The strength of the hyperfine interaction gives an indication of the electron spin density on the nucleus. Measuring the hyperfine coupling therefore provides us with an estimate of spin delocalization of the nitrogen atom over the C₆₀ cage. This isotropic hyperfine coupling constant of 34 kHz corresponds to an approximate 2% transfer of spin density from the nitrogen atom to the C₆₀ molecule⁶.

In other candidate endohedral species such as $Sc@C_{82}$, the anisotropy of the hyperfine interaction and complex nature of the bond between the bound atom and the cage make the interpretation of EPR spectra more challenging. Using density functional theory we have modelled the electron charge and spin distributions in $Sc@C_{82}$, where the bond with the cage is partly covalent and partly ionic and most of the electron spin density is distributed around the carbon cage. The anisotropy is attributed to 5% occupation of the Sc d_{yz} orbital [9].

2.2. ${}^{15}N@C_{70}$: effects of isotope and cage

Different isotopes of nitrogen can be used during the implantation process (indeed, trace amounts of ¹⁵N@C₆₀ were detected when the molecule was first synthesized [4]), and a nitrogen atom can be equally well encapsulated within a slightly larger, ellipsoidal cage: C₇₀. The isotopic change has a dramatic effect on the hyperfine coupling constant, whilst the change of cage size provides a more subtle shift. The nuclear gyromagnetic ratio of ¹⁵N is 1.4 times larger than that of ¹⁴N, indicating a hyperfine coupling constant of 0.566 × 1.4 = 0.792 mT. However, the larger cage of C₇₀ relaxes the compression of the electron cloud, reducing the hyperfine constant by approximately 5% [10]. As ¹⁵N has I = 1/2, the CW EPR spectrum shows two principal lines, with second-order hyperfine splittings observable on both hyperfine lines (δ in this case is $a^2/(2B)$). By coincidence, the resulting second-order splitting matches that for N@C₆₀ almost exactly, as revealed in figure 3.

2.3. Quantum coherence in $N@C_{60}$

The ability of N@C₆₀ to faithfully store quantum information is characterized by the relaxation time T_1 and the coherence time T_2 . These have been studied in a range of different environments, and yielded measurements of T_2 up to 0.25 ms in liquid CS₂ solution at 160 K [11]. With nutation period 32 ns in a typical X-band EPR spectrometer, this T_2 time corresponds to more than 10^4 electron spin Rabi oscillations, examples of which are shown in figure 4.

⁶ A unit spin density on the C_{60} cage is expected to produce a ¹³C hyperfine interaction of approximately 1.5 MHz [8].



Figure 3. Zoom-in of the CW EPR spectrum for the low-field line in ${}^{14}N@C_{60}$ and ${}^{15}N@C_{70}$. By chance, different factors conspire to give an identical second-order hyperfine splitting.



Figure 4. Electron spin Rabi oscillations for $N@C_{60}$ in CS_2 at 190 K. Sample dimensions: (A) 15 mm long, 4 mm diameter; (B) 7 mm long, 1 mm diameter. The observed decay is due to inhomogeneity of the applied microwave field, and can thus be suppressed by reducing the physical dimensions of the sample [3].

In addition to choosing systems with long T_1 and T_2 times, it is also essential to evaluate and minimize the errors associated with qubit logic gates when judging quantum computing implementations. We have shown that even in an EPR system with a 10% systematic error in single qubit operations, composite pulses (such as BB1) enable fidelities between 0.999 and 0.9999 to be achieved, and the theoretical limit of this method should be even better [12]. Along with the measured coherence times, this meets commonly accepted requirements for fault-tolerant quantum computation [13].

2.4. The nuclear spin as a resource

In addition to providing the hyperfine coupling that will enable the distinction of different types of qubit in a computer containing ${}^{15}N@C_{60}$ and ${}^{14}N@C_{60}$ fullerene subunits, the nuclear spin is a resource in its own right. Capable of even longer storage times of quantum information than the electron spin, its state could be swapped with the electron spin when a computation is not taking place. The nuclear spin can be manipulated by RF pulses, as shown in the nuclear Rabi oscillations in figure 5, and the presence of the electron spin can be exploited to generate



Figure 5. The nuclear Rabi frequency can be controlled by changing the power of the RF driving field. To maximize detection efficiency, the two RF transitions at the $M_{\rm S} = \pm 3/2$ manifolds are driven simultaneously (at 22.597 and 24.781 MHz).

ultrafast phase gates, and to further protect the nuclear spin from unwanted interactions by *bang-bang* decoupling [14, 15].

3. Optical mechanisms for single spin measurement and manipulation

A vital requirement of the proposed endohedral electron spin approach is to be able to measure single spins. All the ESR measurements so far of spins in fullerenes have been performed using the free induction decay of ensembles containing of order 10^{14} molecules. There are several candidate technologies for measuring single electron spins. Direct measurements of small magnetic fields can be made by micro-SQUIDs (superconducting quantum interference devices), but current sensitivity is limited to $\Delta m_{\rm S} = 30$, which corresponds to the flipping of 30 electron spins [16]. Magnetic resonance force microscopy (MRFM) has been proposed for a nuclear-spin based quantum computer [17], and has been used to detect an individual electron spin in silicon dioxide, with a spatial resolution of 25 nm [18]. This beautiful experiment allows a direct detection of a single spin, but the measurement process is slow. STM assisted EPR allows modulation of the tunnelling current at the Larmor precession frequency of single spins to be detected, but this is not a vector measurement, and therefore cannot make a projective measurement of an electron spin qubit [19]. Single-shot measurements of single electrons in GaAs quantum dots (lithographically defined within a two-dimensional electron gas) are possible using a quantum point contact [20], thus providing proof-of-principle that electrical measurements of single spins in solid-state devices are possible. A single fullerene molecule can be coupled to a pair of electrical contacts, as demonstrated in molecular transport experiments [21]. Given sufficiently low temperatures and magnetic fields, it may be possible to use Coulomb blockade in such a molecular-nanoelectronics hybrid device to measure an endohedral electron spin [22].

An alternative to electrical measurement of a single spin would be optical measurement. In *optically detected magnetic resonance* (ODMR) the luminescence of a sample is observed under a magnetic field and used to detect electron spin. In titanium-doped silicon carbide, radiative transitions between two electronic spin states (S = 1 and 0) on the titanium atom have been optically detected [23]. It may be possible to couple the endohedral spin to a solid-state magnetic optical dipole such as nitrogen–vacancy centres in nanocrystalline diamond [24, 25], which have themselves formed the basis of a QIP proposal [26] and in which a single spin has been optically measured [27]. Spin to photon conversion has also been demonstrated in InAs self-assembled quantum dots [28]. Direct optical detection of spin in N@C₆₀ and P@C₆₀ appears elusive, because the transition to the first excited state in atomic nitrogen falls far into the ultraviolet, which is beyond the capabilities of standard optics apparatus and well inside the primary absorption of the C₆₀ cage.

The lanthanide metallofullerenes, in particular the erbium-doped fullerenes, offer greater promise for optical readout. The singly doped variant $Er@C_{82}$ displays paramagnetic resonance [29], but does not exhibit detectable luminescence [30], as in this case unfilled cage molecular orbitals lead to strong absorption at the 1.5 μ m Er³⁺ first-excited-state ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$ to ground-state ${}^{4}I_{15/2}$ transition wavelength. The doubly doped Er₂@C₈₂ exhibits 1.5 μ m photoluminescent emission characteristic of Er³⁺ 4f-electron transitions [31, 30], but the pairing of the endohedral spins leads to a spin-silent molecule [29]. At cryogenic temperatures, the Er2@C82 spectrum appears as a set of eight well-resolved lines owing to lifting of the m_J degeneracies inherent in the ${}^4I_{13/2}$ and ${}^4I_{15/2}$ manifolds by the local crystal field. This photoluminescence process is interpreted as absorption of the exciting visible laser source into the strongly absorbing cage states followed by non-radiative relaxation from these states to the ion, and then *intra* the ion, between the ions or via the cage to the ${}^{4}I_{\frac{13}{2}}$ manifold lowest level, and then luminescent decay. At elevated (300 K) temperature, phonon broadening and thermal population of the upper levels prevent observation of the characteristic lines. The crystal-field splittings of around 15 cm⁻¹ are large compared to crystal hosts, but the close proximity of the erbium ions and the charged cage make the strength of the interaction credible. The lifetime of the lowest ${}^{4}I_{\frac{13}{2}}$ level is less than 50 μ s, giving a quantum efficiency of less than 1%.

Another class of erbium-doped fullerenes, the so-called TNT fullerenes, which are composed of a planar trigonal tri-lanthanide nitride group enclosed in a 78-, 80- or 82-carbon cage, offer additional candidates, ErSc₂N@C₈₀, Er₂ScN@C₈₀ and Er₃N@C₈₀ [32]. Similar cage-mediated photoluminescence measurements at 4.2 K of these erbium-scandium cluster fullerenes produces an eight-line $Er^{3+1.5} \mu m$ spectrum [33]. At 77 K, the lines are again broadened due to phonon effects, and thermal population of the upper ${}^{4}I_{\frac{13}{2}}$ manifold leads to the appearance of further lines (hot bands). The fluorescence lifetime is within 2 μ s, giving a quantum efficiency of 10^{-4} . All these photoluminescence measurements have been performed by exciting the ionic states via the cage states; because of the uncontrolled and complex relaxation pathways involved this is a highly unsuitable process for performing the delicate and precise coherent ionic manipulations required of a candidate readout scheme. However, it is also possible to manipulate the ionic states directly, using the same absorption-free wavelength region. We have recently performed direct optical excitation of individual ground-state to first excited manifold ionic transitions in the erbium TNTs [34]. This unlocks not only the potential to survey the states of the upper manifold with a view to identifying useful readout transitions, but also to excite these transitions directly, coherently, and selectively.

The Er^{3+} ion, being a Kramers ion, maintains a twofold degeneracy in its quantum states, even under complete crystal-field splitting. In the presence of a large magnetic field, this degeneracy may be lifted and the observed transitions split. The application of magnetic field in a sufficiently crystal-field-split case can produce an effective spin-1/2 system, a qubit candidate. We have applied a 19.5 T magnetic field during a luminescence measurement on this TNT system (see figure 6) [35]. The spectrum is observed to split, indicating that the ionic states are responsive to external magnetic fields, and confirming that the ground state is indeed a Kramers doublet. By encoding quantum information in this pseudo-spin-1/2 qubit, and then



Figure 6. 1.5 μ m photoluminescence observed from Er₃N@C₈₀ at 4.2 K at 19.5 T. The inset spectrum shows 0 T data. Features 1 and 2 both split linearly with field. Splitting of peaks with field indicates that the magnetic degeneracy of this system can be lifted, indicating the potential for ESR activity in this class of materials.

directly exciting spin-selective luminescent transitions, it may become possible to optically detect a spin state in this or similar species of endohedral fullerene.

4. Toward one-dimensional arrays for QIP

4.1. Fullerene dimers

The considerable wealth of experimental information that has been gained from one qubit system based upon $N@C_{60}$ gives encouragement to prepare multiple qubit systems. An immediate extension of ensemble measurements on single fullerene systems is fullerene dimers, i.e., a bonded pair of fullerenes. If both cages contain spin-active species then this would give rise to a two-qubit system.

The directly bonded fullerene dimer, C_{120} , can be readily synthesized through a highspeed vibration milling (HSVM) technique. Despite the vigorous nature of this synthesis, the dimer N@C₆₀-C₆₀, in which one fullerene cage contains a nitrogen atom [36], confirms the resilience of the encapsulated nitrogen to chemical bond formation on the surface of fullerene cage. Asymmetric C_{60} - C_{70} dimers can be prepared and isolated, offering the possibility of directly bonded A–B spin active dimers.

The synthesis of the oxygen-bridged dimer, C_{120} –O, by reaction of the fullerene epoxide $C_{60}O$ with C_{60} [37–39] provides, if the unfunctionalized C_{60} is present in a large excess, a route to selective dimerization. We have recently prepared the epoxide functionalized endohedral fullerene N@C₆₀O [40], which holds potential for dimerization with ¹⁵N@C₆₀ to yield a ¹⁴N–¹⁵N two-qubit system. The lower thermal and photolytic stability of the N@C₆₀O molecule versus underivatized N@C₆₀ are thought to stem from the highly reactive epoxide ring (figure 7).

In a related synthetic strategy, the endohedral fullerene derivative ${}^{14}N@C_{61}Br_2$, which has been recently prepared in our laboratories [41], could be reacted with an excess of ${}^{15}N@C_{60}$ to yield a two-qubit carbon bridged C_{121} dimer. This dimerization route offers enhanced stability of both the $N@C_{61}Br_2$ intermediate and the dimer product in comparison to $N@C_{60}O$ and the



Figure 7. A loss of nitrogen spin signal in the ESR spectrum of toluene solutions of $N@C_{60}O$ under vacuum is observed over several days upon exposure to ambient light. In the absence of light $N@C_{60}O$ is stable and no loss of spins is observed.



Figure 8. Fullerene dimers. Schematic representation of the molecular structures of (a) ${}^{14}N@C_{60}-{}^{15}N@C_{70}$, (b) ${}^{14}N@C_{60}-O-{}^{15}N@C_{60}$ and (c) ${}^{14}N@C_{60}-C-{}^{15}N@C_{60}$.

furan bridged $C_{120}O$ dimer, respectively. Schematic representations of the molecular structures of these endohedral fullerene dimer systems are shown in figure 8. These two-stage synthetic routes for dimer production offer (i) the short synthetic route requiring functionalization of only one carbon cage and (ii) the close proximity of both encapsulated nitrogen atoms in the final product, thus maximizing interaction strength and ultimately the rate of entanglement.

Various fullerenes are being developed for optical devices, including the rapidly developing OLED field, nanoelectronics and artificial devices that mimic photosynthesis [42, 43]. Many mono- and bis-fullerene systems have been designed towards these goals, a common feature being the presence of highly conjugated, optically active species such as porphyrins, π -conjugated oligomers, metal coordination compounds and tetrathiafulvalene (TTF). All of these systems potentially hold value for QIP purposes; highly conjugated linkers between cages may provide a route for an exchange interaction between two endohedral fullerene qubits whereas optically active species may allow control of this qubit entanglement. Non-covalent interactions may be useful for the assembly of large arrays of endohedral fullerenes for QIP. Such interactions could include hydrogen-bonding, π - π stacking interactions, coordination bonds and solvophobic effects. Although weak in comparison to covalent bonds, it is well established that highly stable assemblies can be achieved through the cooperative effect of multiple interactions. The risk of incomplete or incorrect arrays is reduced by the inherent error-correcting ability of these thermodynamically driven assembly processes [44].

4.2. 'Peapod' nanotubes

To make a quantum circuit larger than the two qubits afforded by a fullerene dimer, fullerene structures need to be scaled to larger arrays. Fullerenes can be assembled into ordered



Figure 9. Scheme for forming periodic arrays of spaced spin-active fullerenes in an SWNT. A spinactive fullerene is bis-functionalized and then inserted in an SWNT using a low-temperature filling method to avoid degradation of the electron spin and the functional groups.

arrays in single-walled carbon nanotube (SWNT). Self-assembled molecular networks have advantages over individually placing an atom in a trap or implanted in a surface, as the network periodicity and geometry are dictated by well-defined molecular interactions, usually non-covalent bonding [45]. Fullerenes spontaneously enter open nanotubes upon heating to form peapods [46]. Nanotubes provide unique systems suitable for electronics, showing one-dimensional ballistic electron transport [47–49] and remarkably long spin coherence lengths [50]. Nanotubes can be side-gated with self-aligned, regularly spaced metal electrodes tens of nanometres wide [51]. Fullerenes in an SWNT locally alter the electronic states of the SWNT [52, 53]. If the electronic states of the nanotube and fullerene are coupled, then this offers a mechanism for qubits to interact over distance through the nanotube. SWNTs have been grown up to millimetres in length [54, 55], which could hold several thousand qubits arranged into a one-dimensional, self-assembled chain.

For fullerene spins to be controlled locally by gates, they need to be spaced at distances suitable for each gate to address a single fullerene. We have studied the functionalization of $N@C_{60}$, described above, and found that it is possible to attach functional groups to $N@C_{60}$ without loss of the nitrogen spin. These groups can be further altered to create spacers so that fullerenes can have a controllable distance between them. Bis-functionalized fullerenes would assemble with regular periodicity inside SWNTs (figure 9). An alternative method of addressing spins and transferring quantum information is to use a global addressing scheme originally proposed by Lloyd [56] and later refined by Benjamin [57], as we presently discuss. The beauty of marrying global addressing with self-assembly is that arbitrarily large quantum computers would be possible with minimal effort on the part of the architect, as long as the basic interactions between spins are characterized. For example, such self-assembly could be achieved by encapsulating fullerene dimers that have a preferential orientation for entry (creating an ABAB... array). We have encapsulated $C_{120}O$ fullerene dimers in SWNT (figure 10): the dimers lie flat in narrow SWNTs, and tilt to maximize the van der Waals interaction in wider SWNTs.

Fullerenes inside nanotubes are quasi-one-dimensional systems; the fullerenes can orient themselves relative to the nanotube sidewall and to their nearest neighbours. We have shown that rugby ball-shaped C_{70} will lie along the axis of 1.36 nm SWNTs and will stand in 1.49 nm SWNTs (see figure 11) [58]. For spherical C_{60} , increasing the nanotube diameter causes fullerene arrays to go from a linear chain to a zig-zag, followed by a double helix (see figure 1), then a two-molecule layer [59]. This observed transition matches theoretical predictions very well [60]. In both cases, ordering of these arrays is strictly controlled by non-directional van der Waals interactions. Electrostatic interactions give us a further handle to orient metallofullerenes



Figure 10. HRTEM micrographs of $C_{120}O$ in SWNTs. (a) shows that dimers tilt in wider nanotubes, whereas (b) in narrower nanotubes, they are in linear arrays.



Figure 11. HRTEM micrographs of C_{70} @SWNT in (a) and (b) standing orientation and (c) and (d) in the lying orientation. (e) The end of a bundle of C_{70} @SWNTs, showing complete filling to the end of the nanotubes.

such as $Ce@C_{82}$ inside SWNTs [61]. Other directional interactions, such as hydrogen or covalent bonding, can encourage formation of ordered arrays of fullerenes.

We have developed a method for inserting molecules into SWNTs at low temperature and in an inert environment to preserve molecular functionality using supercritical fluids. This technology is applicable to a wide variety of fullerenes, including fullerenes with spacer groups and groups that form hydrogen bonds [62–64]. Extending this approach, we can make novel covalently bonded structures by inserting highly reactive fullerene epoxide, $C_{60}O$, into SWNTs using supercritical carbon dioxide and then heating the resulting peapods to form a $(C_{60}O)_n$ polymer. The one-dimensional $(C_{60}O)_n$ polymer inside the SWNT has a similar structure to C_{60} peapods, but each fullerene is covalently bonded to two nearest neighbours. $(C_{60}O)_n$ in the bulk forms a three-dimensional, branched, disordered polymer, demonstrating that the interior of an SWNT is acting as an effective template for ordered arrays of fullerenes.

This low-temperature filling technique can be used for forming spin active arrays, since functional groups remain intact after insertion of functionalized fullerenes into SWNTs. We have inserted 1% N@C₆₀/C₆₀ into SWNTs in high yield by cycling solvent pressure, to attain peapods in 70% yield, as confirmed by HRTEM imaging. The nitrogen spin is preserved after encapsulation, as shown by EPR of the peapods suspended in CCl₄ [65]. The (N@C₆₀/C₆₀)@SWNT has an EPR linewidth broader than would be expected for a one-dimensional chain of N@C₆₀/C₆₀ interacting solely by dipolar spin coupling. This observation could be due to slightly different environments in different nanotubes causing an inhomogeneous broadening or a different coupling mechanism of the spins, such as via the nanotube electronic bands.

4.3. Static and flying qubits in nanotubes

As well as providing structural support for arranging fullerenes in one-dimensional arrays, carbon nanotubes may provide new ways of controlling the interactions between fullerenes. Electrons may be confined in a semiconducting nanotube using electrostatic gates to create one or more potential wells [66]. If such a well is sufficiently shallow and narrow it will bind only a single electron [67]. Nanotubes have very high circumferential confinement, which, so long as the confining potential along the tube is sufficiently deep, leads to large excitation energies for the confined electronic charges. This inhibits charge fluctuations due to disorder and offers well-defined spin-qubits ('static' qubits) which can be manipulated using electric fields, magnetic fields and their mutual Coulomb interaction. Single conduction electrons ('flying' qubits) can be added one at a time to semiconducting single-wall nanotubes, using a turnstile device [68]. As with semiconductor devices, the turnstile consists of narrow finger gates in close proximity to a semiconductor nanotube, forming a single monolithic structure. These gates are biased negatively with respect to the ohmic source contact creating a softconfined quantum dot in which electrons may be injected into the nanotube one at a time via bias control of the barrier heights. The system may be initialized by applying a sufficiently large source-drain bias with a high barrier at the source, in order to flush out any residual electrons in the nanotube to the drain contact. Flying qubits may also be selected for their spin orientation, using a magnetic contact, a quantum-dot filter [69] or a Zener filter [70]. Such spin-polarized electrons can interact with the confined electron spins, exchanging quantum information and inducing an effective interaction between pairs of static qubits. The spin of the transmitted qubits may be analysed by a combination of spin filter and single electron detector, allowing characterization of the type of interaction induced.

Instead of using gates to confine electrons to provide the static qubits, peapod structures offer electron spins that are already localized in the molecular orbitals. Interactions between adjacent qubit-bearing electrons can be understood in terms of virtual charge fluctuations which give rise to weak antiferromagnetic Heisenberg coupling between spins in semiconducting nanotubes. For a double-well system this gives a fully entangled singlet ground state. In metallic or doped semiconducting nanotubes there is a competition between the tendency to form Kondo singlet ground states between the static qubit and the Fermi sea of electrons in the nanotube [71], and an enhanced Heisenberg/RKKY type interaction [72–74], which may be modulated in both magnitude and sign by changing the Fermi energy with gates. The versatility of the fullerene and nanotube materials offers scope for finding a regime in which RKKY will dominate over Kondo, with corresponding potential for fast controlled two-qubit gates.

In semiconducting single-wall carbon nanotubes or peapods, we have the further possibility of using the correlations between the spin of a single propagating electron and that of a bound electron as a resource for quantum information processing. These are induced by a combination of Coulomb repulsion and Pauli exclusion [75, 67]. For total $S_z = 0$, there is an effective antiferromagnetic exchange interaction between the spins of the incident and bound electrons which induces entanglement between them. Detailed calculation for the case when an electron is bound by an electrostatic gate shows that the entanglement of the asymptotic state after scattering may be tuned by choice of initial kinetic energy of the incident electron. This can induce maximal entanglement at two specific energies, corresponding to the singlet and triplet resonance energies. The high confinement around the tube places restrictions on the confining well in order that the asymptotic states after interaction leave a single bound electron in the well. For relatively wide wells, such as those produced by metallic gates deposited using electron-beam lithography, the well has to be shallow to avoid ionization of the bound electron



Figure 12. Schematic diagram of proposed peapod system in which a single fullerene with an excess electron (centre, static spin-qubit) becomes entangled with an injected electron of opposite spin (upper left, flying spin-qubit). Empty spacer fullerenes surround the spin-active fullerene; further down the tube there may be other spin-active fullerenes, or alternatively a spin filter to enable spin-to-charge conversion for measurement.

into the conduction band. In this regime the two electrons are strongly correlated when they are both in the well. This gives rise to a weak antiferromagnetic Heisenberg coupling between the spins whose strength is much less than the resonance widths—and only partial entanglement is possible. To increase the effective spin–spin interaction, the well must be made narrower and deeper. Even in this highly confined regime, electron correlations are still important due to the small effective Bohr radius, itself a consequence of the small dielectric constant. These effects may be achieved in a dilute peapod, containing relatively isolated spin-bearing fullerenes separated by empty fullerenes (figure 12), or by spacer molecules (cf figure 9), to create a structure suitable for exploiting these ideas for static–flying qubit entanglement.

The peapod structures are so rich in properties that there may be other factors to be understood and controlled, such as orbital degeneracy with associated Jahn–Teller effects on the cage, the relative positions of the nanotube conduction band and the fullerene HOMO orbital, and the magnitude of the coupling between nanotube and fullerene. The latter may be estimated from DFT calculations that show hybridization energies of up to a few tens of meV [76], and Coulomb repulsion energies of doubly charged fullerenes of order 2–3 eV. Each of these offers the potential for further ways of manipulating the entanglement of the electron spins.

5. Scaling up to large interacting systems: two-dimensional arrays on surfaces

Ultimately for a mature QIP technology, it will be desirable to create two-dimensional (or higher) structures. Although the power of a quantum computer is such that, in principle, even a one-dimensional device can profoundly outperform any classical machine on suitable tasks, nevertheless issues such as fault tolerance motivate work towards structures with better connectivity. Molecular structures can of course produce two- and three-dimensional arrays with long range order. There are two related concerns that arise when one considers such structures as QIP architectures. The first is that of *addressability*: given the nanometre scale of the array period, can one hope to manipulate individual qubits and their interactions? In fewqubits systems this is not an issue since each element may have a unique signature frequency, but in large arrays there will be many identical elements. Fortunately there is an available solution in the form of global control: one can show [56, 57, 78, 80] that pulses sent to an entire array can have a net effect in just one place. Secondly, one may be concerned that interactions between nearby elements cannot be *switched* 'on' and 'off', regardless of whether such switching is local or global. Here also there are solutions; one idea we have examined involves using some subset of the physical qubits as barriers, rather than informationbearing units [77-80]. As shown in figure 13, this idea is compatible with one-, two- or threedimensional arrays.

There are several physical mechanisms by which one can form arrays of fullerenes to realize such architectures. Two-dimensional supramolecular assemblies can be made on



Figure 13. Arrays of different dimensionality. (a)–(c) Images showing various periodic array architectures which can support QIP [77]. The spheres represent two different types of spin qubits (for example, two species of endohedral fullerene); one species acts as a barrier while the other represents the logical qubits [77–79]. (d) STM image taken at liquid nitrogen temperature of an island of $Er_3N@C_{80}$ molecules at -2.0 V on a Ag/Si(111) surface.

surfaces by exploiting non-covalent interaction between the constituent molecules. Such molecular networks form porous structures that can act as hosts for fullerene molecules. The number and arrangement of the guest fullerene molecules is largely controlled by the size and shape of the pores of the host network. Fullerene heptamers with hexagonal packing have been formed in a perylene tetra-carboxylic di-imide (PTCDI)-melamine network on a silver-terminated silicon surface [45]. Single C_{60} molecules have been incorporated in a trimesic acid (TMA) molecular network on graphite [81]. Metal–organic coordination networks have also been used as hosts for guest C_{60} molecules on a Cu surface [82]. Even without the presence of a templating network, fullerenes deposited on a substrate tend to arrange themselves into domains with hexagonal packing [83]. Figure 13 shows a filled-states STM image taken at liquid nitrogen temperature of an island of $Er_3N@C_{80}$ molecules at -2.0 V on a Ag/Si(111) surface. These examples demonstrate how it is possible to arrange endohedral fullerenes in an ordered two-dimensional pattern, offering new possibilities for computer architectures, and fault tolerance around defective regions through the availability of alternative pathways.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have reported on a wide range of research, both theoretical and experimental, which we have undertaken in order to exploit fullerenes as a component for a quantum information technology. We have described our successes in establishing the suitability of a family of endohedral fullerenes as a unit for storing and manipulating quantum information, and we have discussed our research into optical manipulation of these molecules. We then reported on various lines of research we have undertaken to synthesize arrays: both small dimer structures and extended scalable arrays, for example inside nanotubes. In this context we have discussed our theoretical work on interactions and on array architectures. Throughout we have sought to highlight the questions that remain to be answered. Although there are many such questions, we believe that our work has already established that these beautiful molecules are indeed highly promising candidates for future quantum technologies.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Oxford–Princeton Link fund for support. This research is part of the QIP IRC www.qipirc.org (GR/S82176/01). GADB thanks EPSRC for a Professorial Research Fellowship (GR/S15808/01). AA and SCB are supported by the Royal Society. JHJ acknowledges support from the UK MoD. JJLM is supported by St John's College, Oxford. Work at Princeton was supported by the NSF International Office through the Princeton MRSEC Grant No DMR-0213706 and by the ARO and ARDA under Contract No DAAD19-02-1-0040.

References

- [1] Harneit W 2002 *Phys. Rev.* A **65** 32322
- [2] Ardavan A, Austwick M, Benjamin S C, Briggs G A D, Dennis T J S, Ferguson A, Hasko D G, Kanai M, Khlobystov A N, Lovett B W, Morley G W, Oliver R A, Pettifor D G, Porfyrakis K, Reina J H, Rice J H, Smith J D, Taylor R A, Williams D A, Adelmann C, Mariette H and Hamers R J 2003 *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* A 361 1473
- [3] Morton J J L, Tyryshkin A M, Ardavan A, Porfyrakis K, Lyon S A and Briggs G A D 2005 Phys. Rev. A 71 012332
- [4] Murphy T A, Pawlik T, Weidinger A, Hohne M, Alcala R and Spaeth J M 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 1075-8
- [5] Knapp C, Weiden N, Kass K, Dinse K P, Pietzak B, Waiblinger M and Weidinger A 1998 Mol. Phys. 95 999
- [6] Morton J J L, Tyryshkin A M, Ardavan A, Porfyrakis K, Lyon S A and Briggs G A D 2005 J. Chem. Phys. 122 174504
- [7] Weiden N, Kass K and Dinse K P 1999 J. Phys. Chem. B 103 9826
- [8] Knapp C, Dinse K-P, Pietzak B, Waiblinger M and Weidinger A 1997 Chem. Phys. Lett. 272 433
- [9] Morley G W, Herbert B J, Lee S M, Porfyrakis K, Dennis T J S, Nguyen-Manh D, Scipioni R, van Tol J, Horsfield A P, Ardavan A, Pettifor D G, Green J C and Briggs G A D 2005 Nanotechnology 16 2469
- [10] Dietel E, Hirsch A, Pietzak B, Waiblinger M, Lips K, Weidinger A, Gruss A and Dinse K-P 1999 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121 2432
- [11] Morton J J L, Tyryshkin A M, Ardavan A, Porfyrakis K, Lyon S A and Briggs G A D 2006 J. Chem. Phys. 124 014508
- [12] Morton J J L, Tyryshkin A M, Ardavan A, Porfyrakis K, Lyon S A and Briggs G A D 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 200501
- [13] Steane A 2003 Phys. Rev. A 68 042322
- [14] Morton J J L, Tyryshkin A M, Ardavan A, Benjamin S C, Porfyrakis K, Lyon S A and Briggs G A D 2006 Nat. Phys. 2 40
- [15] Viola L and Lloyd S 1998 Phys. Rev. A 58 2733
- [16] Pakes C I, Josephs-Frans P W, Reed R P, Corner S G and Colclough M S 2001 IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas. 50 310
- [17] Berman G P, Doolen G D, Hammel P C and Tsifrinovich V I 2000 Phys. Rev. B 61 14694
- [18] Rugar D, Budakian R, Mamin H J and Chui B W 2004 Nature 430 329
- [19] Durkan C and Welland M E 2002 Appl. Phys. Lett. 80 458
- [20] Elzerman J M, Hanson R, Willems van Beveren L H, Witkamp B, Vandersypen L M K and Kouwenhoven L P 2004 Nature 430 431
- [21] Park H, Park J, Lim A K L, Anderson E H, Alivastos A P and McEuen P L 2000 Nature 407 57
- [22] Elste F and Timm C 2005 Phys. Rev. B 71 155403
- [23] Lee K M, Dang L S and Watkins G D 1985 Phys. Rev. B 32 2273
- [24] Charnock F T and Kennedy T A 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 41201
- [25] Twamley J 2003 Phys. Rev. A 67 052318
- [26] Lukin M D and Hemmer P R 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 2818
- [27] Jelezko F and Wrachtrup J 2004 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16 1089
- [28] Kroutvar M, Ducommun Y, Heiss D, Bichler M, Schuh D, Abstreiter G and Finley J J 2004 Nature 432 81
- [29] Sanakis Y, Tagmatarchis N, Aslanis E, Ioannidis N, Petrouleas V, Shinohara H and Prassides K 2001 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123 9924
- [30] Macfarlane R M, Wittmann G, van Loosdreacht P H M, deVries M, Bethune D S, Stevenson S and Dorn H C 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 1397

- [31] Hoffman K R, Norris B J, Merle R B and Alford M 1998 Chem. Phys. Lett. 284 171-6
- [32] Stevenson S et al 1999 Nature 401 55
- [33] Macfarlane R M, Bethune D S, Stevenson S and Dorn H C 2001 Chem. Phys. Lett. 343 229
- [34] Jones M A G, Taylor R, Ardavan A and Briggs G A D manuscript in preparation
- [35] Jones M A G, Morton J J L, Ardavan A and Briggs G A D manuscript in preparation
- [36] Goedde B, Waiblinger M, Jakes P, Weiden N, Dinse K-P and Weidinger A 2001 Chem. Phys. Lett. 334 12
- [37] Murray R W and Iyanar K 1997 Tetrahedron Lett. 38 335
- [38] Lebedkin S, Ballenweg S, Gross J, Taylor R and Krätschmer W 1995 Tetrahedron Lett. 36 4971
- [39] Smith A B III, Tokuyama H, Strongin R M, Furst G T, Romanow W J, Chait B T, Mirza U A and Haller I 1995 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 9359
- [40] Jones M A G, Britz D A, Morton J J L, Khlobystov A N, Porfyrakis K, Ardavan A and Briggs G A D 2006 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. submitted
- [41] Sambrook M R, Porfyrakis K and Briggs G A D 2006 manuscript in preparation
- [42] Sánchez L, Herranz M Á and Martn N 2005 J. Mater Chem. 15 1409
- [43] Roncali J 2005 Chem. Soc. Rev. 24 483
- [44] Lindsey J S 1991 New. J. Chem. 15 153
- [45] Theobald J A, Oxtoby N S, Phillips M A, Champness N R and Beton P H 2003 Nature 424 1029
- [46] Smith B W, Monthioux M and Luzzi D E 1998 Nature 396 323
- [47] Mann D, Javey A, Kong J, Wang Q and Dai H J 2003 Nano Lett. 3 1541
- [48] Javey A, Guo J, Wang Q, Lundstrom M and Dai H J 2003 Nature 424 654
- [49] White C T and Todorov T N 1998 Nature 393 240
- [50] Tans S J, Devoret M H, Groeneveld R J A and Dekker C 1998 Nature 394 761
- [51] Robinson L A W, Lee S B, Teo K B K, Chhowalla M, Amaratunga G A J, Milne W I, Williams D A, Hasko D G and Ahmed H 2003 Nanotechnology 14 290
- [52] Lee J, Kim H, Kahng S J, Kim G, Son Y W, Ihm J, Kato H, Wang Z W, Okazaki T, Shinohara H and Kuk Y 2002 Nature 415 1005
- [53] Horsfield A 1997 Phys. Rev. B 56 6594
- [54] Hata K, Futaba D N, Mizuno K, Namai T, Yumura M and Iijima S 2004 Science 306 1362
- [55] Simon F, Kuzmany H, Rauf H, Pichler T, Bernardi J, Peterlik H, Korecz L, Fulop F and Janossy A 2004 Chem. Phys. Lett. 383 362
- [56] Lloyd S 1993 Science 261 1569
- [57] Benjamin S C 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 017994
- [58] Khlobystov A N, Scipioni R, Nguyen-Manh D, Britz D A, Pettifor D G, Briggs G A D, Lyapin S G, Ardavan A and Nicholas R J 2004 Appl. Phys. Lett. 84 792–4
- [59] Khlobystov A N, Britz D A, Ardavan A and Briggs G A D 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 245507
- [60] Hodak M and Girifalco L A 2003 Phys. Rev. B 67 075419
- [61] Khlobystov A N, Porfyrakis K, Kanai M, Britz D A, Ardavan A, Dennis T J S and Briggs G A D 2004 Ang. Chem. Int. Edn 43 1386
- [62] Khlobystov A N, Britz D A, Wang J W, O'Neil S A, Poliakoff M and Briggs G A D 2004 J. Mater. Chem. 14 2852
- [63] Britz D A, Khlobystov A N, Porfyrakis K, Ardavan A and Briggs G A D 2005 Chem. Commun. 1 37
- [64] Britz D A, Khlobystov A N, Wang J W, O'Neil A S, Poliakoff M, Ardavan A and Briggs G A D 2004 Chem. Commun. 2 176
- [65] Morley G W 2005 Doctoral Thesis Department of Materials (University of Oxford, Oxford, UK) p197
- [66] Mason N, Biercuk M J and Marcus C M 2004 Science 303 655
- [67] Gunlycke D, Rejec T, Jefferson J H, Ramšak A, Pettifor D G and Briggs G A D 2006 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18 in review
- [68] Kouwenhoven L P, Johnson A T, van der Vaart N C, Harmans C J P M and Foxon C T 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 1626
- [69] Recher P, Sukhorukov E V and Loss D 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 1962
- [70] Gunlycke D, Jefferson J H, Bailey S W D, Lambert C J, Pettifor D G and Briggs G A D 2006 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter in review
- [71] Hewson A C 1993 The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [72] Ruderman M A and Kittel C 1954 Phys. Rev. 96 99
- [73] Kasuya T 1956 Prog. Theor. Phys. 16 45
- [74] Yosida K 1957 Phys. Rev. 106 893
- [75] Jefferson J H, Ramšak A and Rejec T 2005 Preprint cond-mat/0509010
- [76] Du M H and Cheng H P 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 113402

- [77] Benjamin S C 2004 New J. Phys. 6 61
- [78] Benjamin S C and Bose S 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 247901
- [79] Benjamin S C, Lovett B W and Reina J H 2004 Phys. Rev. A 70 060305
- [80] Lovett B W 2005 Preprint quant-ph/0508192
- [81] Griessl S J H, Lackinger M, Jamitzky F, Markert T, Hietschold M and Heckl W M 2004 J. Phys. Chem. B 108 11556
- [82] Stepanow S, Lingenfelder M, Dmitriev A, Spillmann H, Delvigne E, Lin N, Deng X, Cai C, Barth J V and Kern K 2004 Nat. Mater. 3 229
- [83] Leigh D, Owen J H G, Porfyrakis K, Kanai M, Dennis T J S, Ardavan A and Briggs G A D 2005 Surf. Sci. submitted